

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,211	08/24/2001	Daniel Lootz	7040-40	3319
21324	7590 08/03/2005		EXAM	INER
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP One GOJO Plaza			THALER, M	ICHAEL H
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			3731	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Continue Continu			$\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}\mathscr{L}$
## Examiner ## Art Unit ## Ar		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Michael Thaler 3731		09/939,211	LOOTZ ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherisons of time may be available under the provision of 30°CFR 1.136(a). In no vesal, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for payly specified allow a time than the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of actended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply reciviled by the Offices bet than these montal start the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of actended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply reciviled by the Offices bet than these montal start the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of actended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply reciviled by the Offices bett than these montal start the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of the communication of the communication of this communication was a communication. The set of this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheef is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ■ Advancious of fine may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled within 20.0 (b) for the first provision in the state of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled within 20.0 (b) for the first provision in the set han thin (70) days, a range which the state latery within the set of candidate prior for 100 days will be considered timely. ■ If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 50x (6) MCN1115 from the mailling date of this communication. ■ Failuse to in experiment by the Office later than three months after the mailling date of file communication, when if timely filled, may reduce any evener paint than adjustment. Set 27 CFR 1.178(b). ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 June 2005. ■ This action is FINAL 2b) ■ This action is non-final. ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ■ Disposition of Claims ■ (Claim(s) See Continuation Sheef is/are pending in the application. ■ (A) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ■ (Claim(s) is/are allowed. ■ (Claim(s) is/are allowed. ■ (Claim(s) is/are objected to. ■ (Claim(s) fill on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The paper objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a c			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In c event, however, may a rophy be timely filed of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for neyly expedite date of this communication. Failure to reply within the salt or extended period for reply will, by standing value of the post SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the salt or extended period for reply will, by standing value and velocy SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will be the salt or extended period for reply will. by standing value and velocy SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will be the salt or extended period for reply will. by standing value and period six (7) (8) U.S. (2) (3) U.S. (2) (3) U.S. (2) (3) U.S. (3) U.S. (4)		pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2005. 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 1.5.6.8-10.12-18.20.44.64.67.70.71.74-78.81.82.85.86.89.90.94.100 and 105-122 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. 	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15.6.8-10.12-18.20.44.64.67.70.71.74-78.81.82.85.86.89.90.94,100 and 105-122 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mattel	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	awn from consideration. 74-78,81,82,85,86,89,90,94,	<u>100 and 105-122</u> is/are rejected.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	Mail Date

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,5,6,8-10,12-18,20,44,64,67,70,71,74-78,81,82,85,86,89,90,94,100 and 105-122.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,211

Art Unit: 3731

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 29, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-10, 12-18, 20, 44, 64, 67, 70, 71, 74-78, 81, 82, 85, 86, 89, 90, 94, 100 and 105-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duerig et al. (6,190,406) in view of Wolinsky et al. (6,918,928). Duerig et disclose a plurality of annular support portions comprising bar elements 60 and connecting bars 70, wherein the connecting bars 70 engage in a region of the bar elements of the first annular support portion that projects in the longitudinal direction (in the embodiment described in col. 5, lines 46-53 in which one end is attached to the strut rather than the loop, noting that the entire strut projects in the longitudinal direction particularly in view of [0060] of applicant's specification which indicates that a portion of the bar element "projects less far in the first direction") and wherein the connecting bars engage a central portion of the second annular

Page 3

support portion (in the embodiment described in col. 5, lines 46-53 in which the other end is attached to the strut rather than the loop). Duerig et al. fail to disclose a direction of curvature changing in the central region of the bar element when the stent is in the first condition. However, Wolinsky et al. in figure 6 and col. 6, lines 17-42, for example, teach that the central region of a meandering bar element of a stent in the first, unexpanded condition, should be curved at inflection point 21 in order to obtain the advantage of enabling the links 25 to fit together more closely in a nested arrangement with the undulation of the rings 20a-c. It would have been obvious to incorporate this shape into the Duerig et al. bar element so that it too would have this advantage. Note that both Duerig et al. and Wolinsky et al. disclose adjacent bar elements that share a common turning point which do not converge at any point as the adjacent bar elements extend away from the common turning point as now claimed. As to claim 5, in the embodiment described in col. 5, lines 46-53 in which one end of the connecting bar 70 is attached to the strut rather than the loop, the connecting bars 70 engage the strut "near a turning point" as claimed since "near" is a relative term and any point on the strut is "near a turning point" as compared to other portions of the stent, for example. As to claims 6 and 114, Duerig et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,211

Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

disclose an embodiment in which one end of the connecting bar 70 is attached to a strut and the other end is attached to a loop, noting the term "and/or" in reference to each end of the connecting bar in the phrase "wherein one end is attached to one strut and/or loop, and another end attached to a strut and/or loop on an adjacent hoop" in col. 5, lines 46-53. embodiment, the end of the connecting bar 70 that is attached to "engages a point that projects furthest in the loop longitudinal direction" as claimed, since figures 4 and 4a show the end of the connecting bar 70 attached to a loop at a point which projects furthest in the longitudinal direction even though it is attached slightly off center to the apex of the In any event, the "point that projects furthest in the longitudinal direction" is considered to be the "turning point" which is considered to be the entire curved portion near the apex. As to claims 10, 105 and 121, note col. 7, lines 39-60 of Duerig et al. As to claim 16, for example, Duerig et al. fail to disclose the stent material in a stress-induced martensitic state at body temperature. However, it is old and well known in this art to design make shape memory alloys such that they are in a stress-induced martensitic state at body temperature in order to facilitate entry into the patient's body. It would have been obvious to make the Duerig et al. the stent material Application/Control Number: 09/939,211

Art Unit: 3731

in a stress-induced martensitic state at body temperature so that it too would have this advantage. The above well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion (M.P.E.P. 2144.03). As to claims 18, 85, 86, 90 and 119, the width of the Duerig et al. bar element varies over the length thereof (col. 6, lines 8-39). As to claims 94 and 100, the center line of the Duerig et al. bar element is in the shape of an elliptical arc in the region of the turning points when the stent is expanded, as seen in figure 5, for example.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 6, 8-10, 12-18, 20, 44, 64, 67, 70, 71, 74-78, 81, 82, 85, 86, 89, 90, 94, 100 and 105-122 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Wolinsky et al. disclose adjacent bar elements that share a common turning point which do not converge at any point as the adjacent bar elements extend away from the common turning point as now claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can

Application/Control Number: 09/939,211 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

mht 7/28/05

MICHAEL THALER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3731